

# ULS EBF Status Report

As of: 12/26/2007

## Open Software Change Requests (SCRs)

SCR #	Summary	Description	Detected on Date	Status	Release Date
11954	Coast & Ground Certification Question should be required for Amendment applications	Currently, Coast & Ground applications requires the applicant to answer a Coast & Ground-specific certification question (601 Main Form, Question 52). This SCR will enhance the EBF edits to require this certification question for Amendments.	08/06/07	Open	
11847	MW Receivers should edit coordinate directions	Currently, receiver locations for Microwave service do not validate latitude & longitude direction against state code because receivers do not collect state code information. This SCR will create a new edit to validate receiver latitude & longitude direction against the state code of the transmitter location.	06/22/07	Open	
11863	Prevent Cellular MD Minor Filings from indicating Phase 2	Currently, there is no edit to check that Cellular applications that are filing for Phase 2 answer the Expand Area question "Yes." This SCR will create a new edit to ensure compatibility between the Cellular phase and the Expand Area question.	06/26/07	Open	
10626	Renewal applications for BR & ED radio services have new edits	Recently, Renewal applications for for Broadband Radio (BR) & Educational Broadband (ED) radio services were enhanced to require 601 Main Form questions 53, 54, and 55 to be answered. The enhancements were effective for online applications only. This SCR will make them effective for batch filed applications as well.	2/22/2006	Open	
11603	EBF applications allow incorrect path data on antennas	If an EBF application is filed, there currently is no check that the path number assigned to an antenna matches the path for that antenna. As a result, incorrect segments are possible for the paths between the antennas.	3/8/2007	Open	
11602	EBF applications allow incorrect antennas on paths	EBF needs to be updated to add additional checks that an antenna is valid for a path when receiving applications. In addition to verifying that an antenna exists on that license, EBF will be updated to check that no two paths will share one antenna. EBF will also be updated to ensure that the two antennas on the path are not both a transmit type or both a receiver type.	3/8/2007	Open	
11599	EBF Accepts Data in the ULS File Number Field For Purposes Other Than Withdrawal and Amendment	In all EBF applications, the applicant is able to submit with data in the "ULS File Number" field. However, only Withdrawal and Amendment applications should have associated file numbers. All other applications should not be able to submit with information in the "ULS File Number" field.	3/7/2007	Open	
11462	EBF Reporting an Incorrect Error	An applicant had sent a batch filing for a new purpose application in the PA radio service. EBF rejected the application with the following message: "Record Type LM - Extended Implementation must be null." The applicant did not answer the extended implementation approved question on the LM record. The problem lies with an edit in the PA radio service that is looking for a non-null value in the extended implementation plan question on the AD record. EBF needs to be corrected in order to generate the correct error for this situation.	1/5/2007	Open	
11399	EBF must cross-edit the Path Number between the PA and AN record types	When submitting a microwave service application, the applicant supplies path and antenna data. The path has a sequence number and the antenna data refers to this sequence number. EBF needs to verify the path number entered on the antenna record matches the path number entered on the path record. If the path number found on the antenna and path records for the exact antenna does not match, EBF should generate an error and not allow the application into the system.	11/29/2006	Open	

# ULS EBF Status Report

As of: 12/26/2007

SCR #	Summary	Description	Detected on Date	Status	Release Date
11323	An EBF Pack Registration Number Edit needs to be corrected.	Standard EBF needs a new edit. The new edit is if the Pack Indicator is set to 'N' and the Pack Registration Number is NOT NULL, then ULS will generate an error and not process the application.	10/31/2006	Open	
11298	Incorrect EBF Error Message when filing EBF applications.	When processing an EBF application that results with error 13779, the wrong error message is displaying. Error 13779 is for record type L2 and the correct error message is: "Record Type L2 - Mexican Clearance Indicator is not valid for Land Mobile, Microwave or Coast and Ground."	10/24/2006	Open	
11230	Allow applicants to remove location information through EBF	If an applicant files an EBF modification application, the applicant is unable to delete the overall height with appurtenances data from a location. Applicants need to be able to delete this information.	9/25/2006	Open	
11114	EBF is incorrectly processing NULL fields	When an EBF filer uses the "\$" symbol to delete the Real Party of Interest, EBF is incorrectly maintaining the "\$" symbol instead of replacing the field with NULL. EBF does not reject the application but this error should not occur.	8/22/2006	Open	
11090	Add a call sign verification to the EBF process	EBF needs to verify that the call sign in the 'HD' record and the call sign in the 'CF' record are the same. If the call signs do not match, the application should be rejected and a corresponding error sent in the response file back to the EBF filer.	8/10/2006	Open	
10991	ULS is not allowing removal of expired associated call sign from a license	This problem was discovered in Standard EBF: ULS will not permit an associated call sign to be removed from a license if the associated call sign has expired.	6/23/2006	Open	
10614	Modify ULS to Accommodate Name Change Question Logic	If a 601 or 605 purpose does not equal New or an Amendment of a New application and any of the name fields (entity name, individual first-middle-last-suffix) are changed/touched, an answer to the Name Change Question is required (Yes or No). If a 601 or 605 purpose does not equal New or Amendment of a New and any of the name fields (entity name, individual first-middle-last-suffix) are NOT changed/touched, an answer to the Name Change Question is not required and the value must be Null. If a 601 or 605 purpose equals New or Amendment of a New, an answer to the Name Change Question is not required and the value must be Null.	2/16/2006	Open	

**STATUS:**

**Open = System issue has been identified and is outstanding.**

**Fixed = Programmer has completed required software changes but testing by analyst has not yet been completed.**

**Tested = Required software changes have been completed and tested. Awaiting implementation.**

**Closed = Required software changes have been implemented. Issue is resolved.**

**Reopen = Issue was previously resolved but has been redetected.**

**Rejected = No system changes required or issue was erroneously opened.**

# ULS EBF Status Report

As of: 12/26/2007

## Closed/Rejected Software Change Requests (SCRs)

SCR #	Summary	Description	Detected on Date	Status	Release Date
11751	EBF (Emergency STA question)	For EBF and interactively filed applications, if the Special Temporary Authorization question is "N", the emergency STA question should be null. If the emergency STA question is answered, the file should be rejected.  EBF should not allow the applicant to answer the Emergency STA question if the applicant puts an 'N' in the STA question.	5/1/2007	Closed	5/24/2007
11727	Amateur/FRC EBF Giving Errors when Social Security Number is Received	EBF is generating errors for both FRC and Amateur files on applications when a Social Security Number (SSN) is sent in the batch. When receiving the SSN, EBF should be auto-registering the SSN in CORES. Currently, if the SSN is sent by itself, EBF is incorrectly generating a 9505 error (). If the SSN is sent along with a call sign, the SSN should be auto-registered in CORES.	4/23/2007	Closed	4/25/2007
11676	EBF_FRC Process submitting applications with Informational (I) Type Errors	An applicant submitted a .dat file, but the file number was not included in the response file. The filer needs the file number in order to submit photos. If EBF accepts a filing, then EBF should send a response file to a filer with a file number.	3/28/2007	Closed	4/25/2007
11616	EBF Must Check to See if the Licensee Suffix is Changed	Currently, any change made to the licensee name in an EBF application should trigger the "Name Change" question being answered. If the "Name Change" question is not answered, the EBF application should be rejected.  However, if an EBF application is filed, and the licensee's suffix is changed, but no other part of the name is changed, EBF does not check that the "Name Change" question is answered. If the "Name Change" question is not answered, the EBF application should be rejected.	3/13/2007	Rejected	3/28/2007
11553	Amateur EBF Not Consistently Recording Error Number in Response File	When submitting a Removal for an amateur call sign, the applicant received "NULL" in the Response File in place of an error code. EBF was mismatching the licensee name as supplied in the batch file and the name as it appears on the license. EBF should have instead written error code 13526 in the response file.	2/21/2007	Closed	4/11/2007
11541	Mask Real TINs When Coming In Through EBF	As a follow on to the effort to remove real TINs from ULS Data Entry, EBF will be updated to mask TIN information.	2/9/2007	Closed	4/12/2007
11468	Amateur EBF Process Not Copying All License Data to the Application	When any application is filed on an amateur license through EBF, the eligibility code on the amateur license is not being copied onto the application.  For Administrative Update and Renewal Only applications, this causes the application to incorrectly change the eligibility data on the license to NULL. EBF had also incorrectly allowed applicants to submit without making any changes.	1/9/2007	Closed	2/7/2007
11422	Information is being incorrectly removed from EBF applications	A modification application was filed through EBF and the applicant supplied Quiet Zone information on the EBF application. When the EBF application was being processed, the EBF process incorrectly removed the quiet zone data that the applicant had supplied in	12/8/2006	Closed	1/11/2007

# ULS EBF Status Report

As of: 12/26/2007

SCR #	Summary	Description	Detected on Date	Status	Release Date
		<p>the batch file. EBF should not remove the data from the submitted application.</p> <p>Per standard processing for Quiet Zone, EBF should not copy the quiet zone question and quiet zone consent date from the license to the application. However, EBF must keep the data from the application. This requirement arises whenever a change is made to a quiet zone location because the applicant must re-answer the quiet zone question and quiet zone notification date fields.</p>			
11377	Amateur EBF should not allow applications into ULS with a Name Change Error	<p>Amateur EBF is letting an application into ULS when there is a name change error detected on the application. The specific problem is with the licensee name suffix. If there is a change in the suffix data, Amateur EBF generates the correct error, but still processes the application in ULS. Since the error being generated is classified as severe, Amateur EBF should not allow the application into ULS.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This problem was found only for the renewal only (RO) application purpose, but other application purposes may also be impacted.</li> </ul>	11/21/2006	Rejected	4/4/2007

**STATUS:**

**Open = System issue has been identified and is outstanding.**

**Fixed = Programmer has completed required software changes but testing by analyst has not yet been completed.**

**Tested = Required software changes have been completed and tested. Awaiting implementation.**

**Closed = Required software changes have been implemented. Issue is resolved.**

**Reopen = Issue was previously resolved but has been redetected.**

**Rejected = No system changes required or issue was erroneously opened.**