

FCC-SIEPR-NSF
Wye Woods Conference:
Lessons plus a Simple Proposal

Paul Milgrom

May 7, 2000

Safe Conclusions

- The SMR was a big advance over previous spectrum allocation practice.
- The exposure problem is *ubiquitous*
 - ...and the FCC cannot always solve it by clever license definitions.
- The SMR cannot identify efficient outcomes to “*combined value*” allocation problems
 - but combinatorial bidding sometimes can.

How Combinatorial Bidding Works

- It helps to solve two problems:
 - Searching for partitions (without serious “exposure”)
 - Providing incentives for revelation and competition
- It creates countervailing incentives
 - withhold bids to avoid bidding against yourself
 - reveal information to help partners find you
- It creates a new problem, variously named:
 - “threshold,” “hold-out,” “coordination,” “free rider”

Controversial Conclusions?

- The design of a “large” auction should exploit situation-specific knowledge, trading off
 - Minimize complexity for auctioneer & bidders
 - Minimize bidder participation costs
 - Maximize efficiency, revenues, transparency
- Past experience is not always a useful guide.
 - Little evidence of adjacent license complementarities
 - ...but nonparticipation? MCI? Cisco?

The 700 MHz Auction

- Arguments for combinatorial bidding
 - Low complexity: the small number of licenses makes the software demands trivial.
 - Bidders' expressed preferences can all be accommodated.
 - Possibility of a very serious exposure problem
 - Insufficient spectrum bandwidth
 - Insufficient geographic scope for new entrants
- Arguments against...?
 - Mostly vague generalities

A Simple Proposal*

- For the 700 MHz auction, allow bids on relevant combinations of the 12 licenses offered.
 - Global combination (1)
 - National combinations (2)
 - 10-20 spectrum combinations within a region (6)
- Categories of rules
 - Which bids are retained in the system?
 - How is activity & eligibility computed?
 - What bids are allowed?
 - What rules govern stopping, withdrawals & waivers?

*Prepared by Larry Ausubel and Paul Milgrom

Design Principles for Quick and Effective Deployment

1. Keep it very, very simple!
 - Ausubel, Cramton, Riley, Nalebuff, Kwerel/FCC, Ledyard, Harstad-Rothkopf
2. Keep it as close as possible to the current SMR auction rules!
3. Include many possibilities, including at least the likely relevant combinations.
 - Plott, Smith, Ledyard, others
4. Let bidders, not rules, drive the result.
 - Porter, Rassenti, Plott, Smith

Rules: Retained Bids

- Definition: A bid is “retained” if
 - It is a global combination bid and is part of the winning set
 - It is a national combination bid and is part of the winning set including individual bids, but *excluding* global and 10-20 combinations
 - It is a 10-20 combination bid and is part of the winning set including individual bids, but *excluding* global and national combinations
 - It is an individual license bid and is the highest bid for that license.

Rules: Activity and Eligibility

- Activity
 - A bid is “active” if it is either a retained bid from the previous round or is an eligible bid in the current round.
- Eligibility
 - No bidder may make bids whose total activity exceeds its current eligibility.
 - Initial eligibility determined by deposit.
 - $\text{Eligibility}(t) = \text{Min}(\text{Eligibility}(t-1), 2 \times \text{Activity}(t-1))$

Rules: Allowable Bids

- Minimum bid
 - $(1+x\%)$ times the minimum amount to have become a retained bid in the previous round.
- Bid amounts: check box bidding
 - For combination bids, one increment only
 - For individual licenses, one or more increments.

Rules: Stopping, Withdrawals & Waivers

- Stopping (“Fair warning! Sold!”)
 - Stop after two consecutive rounds with no new bids
- Withdrawals: none allowed
- Waivers: 5

Variants

Alternative rules and features within the same general system

Bid increments

- Instead of basing the minimum bid on a simple percentage increment, one could:
 - Determine the minimum bid directly by allocating the overall national bid, as in the RAD procedure
 - Determine the increment as the maximum of
 - The fixed percentage
 - A “per point” bid increment, where the per point increment is determined as $y\%$ of the winning total value divided by the number of total points for all licenses.

Additional combinations

- The same rules extend without difficulty to bidding on all geographic combinations within each spectrum band. (114 combinations)
- There is no technical problem with allowing *all* combinations, but the retained bid rule would then exclude such bids unless they are part of the provisionally winning set.

“Or” Bids

- Certain pairs of bids can be linked by the “or” operator.
 - Exclude only links between national and 10-20 pairs.
 - Allow or-links by a bidder to its retained, non-winning bids.
- Determine retained bids in sequence, as follows.
 - 1) Determine provisional winners. These become retained bids and bids attached to these as or-bids are cancelled.
 - 2) Determine the regional winners. These become retained bids and bids attached to these as or-bids are cancelled.
 - 3) Determine individual license winners. These become retained bids and bids attached to these as or-bids are cancelled.

Bid Composition Restrictions

- Restriction: A bidder who bids in some round for a combination but not for some subset of that combination may not subsequently bid for the subset.
- These restrictions involve a trade-off
 - they mitigate the threshold problem, but
 - they take some flexibility from bidders.
- Usefulness of the restriction depends on an assessment of the relative importance of the two.